

Special Purpose Audit Reports

For Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2013

South Washington County Schools Independent School District No. 833

Cottage Grove, Minnesota

igniting a passion

INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 833 SOUTH WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHOOLS

COTTAGE GROVE, MINNESOTA

Special Purpose Audit Reports

Year Ended June 30, 2013

Special Purpose Audit Reports Year Ended June 30, 2013

Table of Contents

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133	1–2
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	4–5
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program and Report on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133	6–7
Independent Auditor's Report on Minnesota Legal Compliance	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	9–12
Independent Auditor's Report on Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table	13–14
Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table	15–16



Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 833 Cottage Grove, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 833 (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 27, 2013.

Audit standards referred to in the previous paragraph require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the District. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards required by OMB Circular A-133 is solely to describe the scope of our testing of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and the results of that testing based on our audit. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosenich & Co., P. A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota November 27, 2013

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards June 30, 2013

	Federal		
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Program Title CFDA No. Federal Expend		penditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Passed through Minnesota Department of Education			
Child nutrition cluster			
	10.552	¢ 197.630	
School Breakfast Program	10.553	\$ 187,620	
National School Lunch Program	10.555	2,113,281	
Special Milk Program for Children	10.556	4,073	
Summer Food Service Program for Children	10.559	21,631	
Total child nutrition cluster			2,326,605
U.S. Department of Education			
Passed through Minnesota Department of Education			
Special education cluster			
Special Education – Grants to States	84.027	3,071,190	
Special Education – Preschool Grants	84.173	62,771	
Total special education cluster			3,133,961
Special Education – Grants for Infants and Families	84.181		82,906
Education Jobs Fund	84.410		79,325
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010		785,328
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	84.367		259,866
English Language Acquisition Grants	84.365		83,483
Adult Education – Basic Grants to States	84.002		8,112
Passed through Intermediate District No. 916			
Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States	84.048		19,770
Total federal awards			\$ 6,779,356

- Note 1: This Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations.* Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the District's basic financial statements.
- Note 2: Non-monetary assistance of \$388,632 is reported in this schedule at the fair market value of commodities received and disbursed for the U.S. Department of Agriculture National School Lunch Program (CFDA No. 10.555).
- Note 3: All pass-through entities listed above use the same CFDA numbers as the federal grantors to identify these grants, and have not assigned any additional identifying numbers.



Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 833 Cottage Grove, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 833 (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 27, 2013.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radasenich & Co., P. A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota

November 27, 2013

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 833 Cottage Grove, Minnesota

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

We have audited Independent School District No. 833's (the District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013. The District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

AUDITOR'S RESPONSIBILITY

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance.

OPINION ON EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to on the previous page that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2013.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to on the previous page. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A- 133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radasenich & Co., P. A. Minneapolis, Minnesota November 27, 2013



Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 833 Cottage Grove, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 833 (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 27, 2013.

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*, promulgated by the Office of the State Auditor pursuant to Minnesota Statute § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and uniform financial accounting and reporting standards for school districts. Our audit included all of the listed categories.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the District failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Political Subdivisions*, except as described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2013-001 and 2013-002. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the District's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

The District's responses to the legal compliance findings identified in our audit have been included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's responses were not subject to the auditing procedures applied in our audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Minneapolis, Minnesota November 27, 2013

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radssewich & Co., P. A.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2013

A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

This summary is formatted to provide federal granting agencies and pass-through agencies answers to specific questions regarding the audit of federal awards.

Financial Statements		
What type of auditor's report is issued?	X Unmodi: Qualifie Adverse Disclain	d
Internal control over financial reporting:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	<u>X</u> No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	Yes	X None reported
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	Yes	<u>X</u> No
Federal Awards		
Internal controls over major federal award programs:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	<u>X</u> No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	Yes	X None reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs?		
U.S. Department of Agriculture child nutrition cluster		Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133?	Yes	<u>X</u> No
Programs tested as major programs:		
Program or Cluster(s)	CFDA	No.
The U.S. Department of Agriculture child nutrition cluster consisting of:		
 School Breakfast Program 	10	.553
 National School Lunch Program 		.555
 Special Milk Program for Children 		.556
 Summer Food Service Program for Children 	10	.559
Threshold for distinguishing type A and B programs:	\$ 300	,000_
Does the auditee qualify as a low-risk auditee?	X Yes	No

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

B. FINDINGS – FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

None.

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

None.

D. FINDINGS – MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT

2013-001 Claims and Disbursements

Criteria – Minnesota Statutes § 471.425, Subd. 2.

Condition – Minnesota Statutes require districts to pay each vendor obligation according to the terms of each contract or within 35 days after the receipt of the goods or services or the invoice for the goods or services. If such obligations are not paid within the appropriate time period, Independent School District No. 833 (the District) must pay interest on the unpaid obligations at the rate of 1.5 percent per month or part of a month. For one disbursements selected for testing, the District did not pay the obligation within the required time period, and did not pay interest on the unpaid obligation.

Questioned Costs – Not applicable.

Context – One out of twenty-five disbursements tested were for claims not paid within thirty-five days. This is a current year finding.

Cause – All general disbursement invoices are received at the district office. A copy of the invoice is sent to the school and/or department prior to payment to ensure that the item was properly received. On occasion, there is a timing delay from when the invoice is approved for payment, and when it is sent back to the district office for payment.

Effect – Certain payments made to vendors were not paid within the timeframe as required by state statute, and the vendors were not paid interest to which they were entitled.

Recommendation – We recommend that the District review claims and disbursement payment procedures in place to ensure future compliance with this statute.

Corrective Action Plan

Actions Planned – The District will review the payment procedures with all site managers and will properly pay all invoices within the 35-day time limit and verify compliance with state statutes.

Official Responsible – The Director of Finance.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

D. FINDINGS - MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT (CONTINUED)

2013-001 Claims and Disbursements (continued)

Corrective Action Plan (continued)

Planned Completion Date – June 30, 2014.

Disagreement With or Explanation of Finding – The District is in agreement with this finding.

Plan to Monitor – The Director of Finance will review disbursement check runs to assure they have supporting documentation and are being paid within the required 35-day time period.

2013-002 Withholding Affidavit

Criteria – Minnesota Statute § 270C.66.

Condition – Before making final settlement with any contractor under a contract requiring the employment of employees for wages by said contractor or subcontractors, the District must obtain a certificate by the Commissioner of Revenue that the contractor or subcontractor has complied with the withholding requirements of Minnesota Statute § 290.92 (either Form IC134 or a Contractor's Withholding Affidavit). The District did not obtain the required certificate for one contract completed during fiscal 2013.

Questioned Costs – Not applicable.

Context – One contract tested was not in compliance. This is a current year finding.

Cause – This was an oversight by district personnel.

Effect – The District did not obtain the required documentation of either a contractor's withholding affidavit or Commissioner of Revenue Form IC134.

Recommendation – We recommend that the District review purchasing procedures and obtain required documentation for future contracts.

Corrective Action Plan

Actions Planned – The District intends to review the state statute and obtain the required documents for all future contracts completed as required.

Official Responsible – The Director of Finance.

Planned Completion Date – June 30, 2014.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2013

D. FINDINGS – MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT (CONTINUED)

2013-002 Withholding Affidavit (continued)

Corrective Action Plan (continued)

Disagreement With or Explanation of Finding – The District is in agreement with this finding.

Plan to Monitor – The Director of Finance will review requirements with appropriate staff to assure the planned controls are being followed and the required contractor's withholding affidavit or the Commissioner of Revenue Form IC134 are obtained.

E. SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS – MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

No audit findings at June 30, 2012.



Thomas M. Montague, CPA
Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA
Paul A. Radosevich, CPA
William J. Lauer, CPA
James H. Eichten, CPA
Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA
Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON UNIFORM FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING AND REPORTING STANDARDS COMPLIANCE TABLE

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 833 Cottage Grove, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 833 (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 27, 2013.

Audit standards referred to in the previous paragraph require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards (UFARS) Compliance Table is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Minnesota Department of Education (MDE), and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the District. The UFARS Compliance Table is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the UFARS Compliance Table is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on the UFARS Compliance Table required by the MDE is solely to describe the scope of our testing of the UFARS Compliance Table and the results of that testing based on our audit. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosewich & Co., P. A. Minneapolis, Minnesota

November 27, 2013

Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table June 30, 2013

			Audit		UFARS		Audit – UFARS	
General Fund								
Total revenue		\$	171,356,798	\$	171,356,799	\$	(1)	
Total expenditures		\$	178,474,301	\$	178,474,302	\$	(1)	
Nonspendable		·	, . ,		, . ,		. ,	
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	1,105,277	\$	1,105,277	\$	_	
Restricted/reserve	•							
403	Staff development	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
405	Deferred maintenance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
406	Health and safety	\$	360,505	\$	360,505	\$	_	
407	Capital projects levy	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	
408	Cooperative revenue	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
414	Operating debt	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	
416	Levy reduction	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
417	Taconite building maintenance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
423 424	Certain teacher programs	\$	120.769	\$	120.769	\$	_	
424 426	Operating capital \$25 taconite	\$ \$	129,768	\$ \$	129,768	\$ \$	_	
426 427	Disabled accessibility	\$	_	\$	_	\$ \$	_	
428	Learning and development	\$	419,730	\$	419,730	\$		
434	Area learning center	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	
435	Contracted alternative programs	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
436	State approved alternative program	\$	108,323	\$	108,323	\$	_	
438	Gifted and talented	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
441	Basic skills programs	\$	1,837,051	\$	1,837,051	\$	_	
445	Career and technical programs	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
446	First grade preparedness	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
449	Safe schools levy	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
450	Pre-kindergarten	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
451	QZAB payments	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
452	OPEB liability not in trust	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	
453	Unfunded severance and retirement levy	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	
Restricted								
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	30,683	\$	30,683	\$	_	
Committed								
418	Committed for separation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	
461	Committed fund balance	\$	3,899,880	\$	3,899,880	\$	_	
Assigned 462	Assistant found holomon	¢	2 509 614	¢	2.509.614	\$		
Unassigned	Assigned fund balance	\$	2,598,614	\$	2,598,614	Э	_	
422	Unassigned fund balance	\$	3,393,447	\$	3,393,448	\$	(1)	
722	Chassigned fund balance	Ψ	3,373,447	Ψ	3,373,440	Ψ	(1)	
Food Service								
Total revenue		\$	7,756,062	\$	7,756,062	\$	_	
Total expenditures		\$	7,873,870	\$	7,873,870	\$	_	
Nonspendable			, ,					
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	255,815	\$	255,815	\$	_	
Restricted								
452	OPEB liability not in trust	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	1,068,763	\$	1,068,763	\$	_	
Unassigned								
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	
Community Service		_		_				
Total revenue		\$	12,272,507	\$	12,272,507	\$	_	
Total expenditures		\$	12,377,729	\$	12,377,729	\$	_	
Nonspendable	Nonconnideble found belongs	¢	27.702	¢	27.702	¢		
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	27,702	\$	27,702	\$	_	
Restricted/reserve 426	\$25 toponito	¢		¢		¢		
426	\$25 taconite Community education	\$ \$	1,709,130	\$ \$	1,709,130	\$ \$	_	
431	ECFE	\$	301,972	\$	301,972	\$ \$	_	
432 444	School readiness	\$	(391,720)	\$ \$	(391,720)	\$	_	
447	Adult basic education	\$	67,484	\$ \$	67,484	\$	_	
452	OPEB liability not in trust	\$	07,464	\$	07,464	\$	_	
Restricted	5. 22 monty not in dust	φ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	53,561	\$	53,561	\$	_	
Unassigned		Ψ	,	-		-		
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	

Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table (continued) June 30, 2013

			Audit		UFARS		Audit – UFARS	
Building Construction	on							
Total revenue	v .	\$	1,312,689	\$	1,312,688	\$	1	
Total expenditures		\$	6,068,481	\$	6,068,481	\$	_	
Nonspendable								
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	
Restricted/reserve								
407	Capital projects levy	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	
409	Alternative facility program	\$	2,895,565	\$	2,895,565	\$	-	
413	Project funded by COP	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	
Restricted	D 16 . 11 . 1	di di	120, 626	Φ.	120, 626	ф.		
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	129,636	\$	129,636	\$	_	
Unassigned 463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$		
403	Unassigned fund barance	Ф	_	Þ	_	\$	_	
Debt Service								
Total revenue		\$	25,460,348	\$	25,460,348	\$	-	
Total expenditures		\$	25,432,298	\$	25,432,298	\$	-	
Nonspendable		4	25.525		25.525			
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	35,625	\$	35,625	\$	_	
Restricted/reserve	Bond refundings	\$	833,388	\$	833,388	\$		
451	QZAB payments	\$	033,300	\$	-	\$	_	
Restricted	QZAB payments	φ	_	φ	_	Ą	_	
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	4,765,339	\$	4,765,339	\$	_	
Unassigned		Ť	1,100,000	-	.,,	*		
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	
_								
Trust		di di	22 222	Φ.	22.222	ф.		
Total revenue		\$	33,233	\$ \$	33,233	\$ \$	_	
Total expenditures 422	Not modition	\$ \$	43,081 54,883	\$	43,081 54,883	\$	_	
422	Net position	2	34,863	ф	34,863	\$	_	
Internal Service								
Total revenue		\$	1,254,704	\$	1,254,704	\$	_	
Total expenditures		\$	2,717,730	\$	2,717,730	\$	-	
422	Net position	\$	23,332,324	\$	23,332,324	\$	-	
OPEB Revocable Tr								
Total revenue	rust Fullu	\$	_	\$		\$		
Total expenditures		\$	_	\$	_	\$		
422	Net position	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
.22	The position	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		
OPEB Irrevocable T	Trust Fund							
Total revenue		\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	
Total expenditures		\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	
422	Net position	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
OPEB Debt Service	Found							
Total revenue	runa	\$		\$		\$		
Total expenditures		\$		\$		\$		
Nonspendable		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Restricted	1	Ψ		-		•		
425	Bond refundings	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Unassigned								
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	

Note 1: Statutory restricted deficits, if any, are reported in unassigned fund balances in the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Note 2: The amount restricted for bond refunding represents the amount held in escrow for final payment out of debt issue proceeds, which is reported in restricted for debt service in the financial statements.